	Page 1		Page 3
1	r age i	1	PROCEEDINGS
2		2	June 21, 2023 9:03 a.m.
3		3	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Good morning.
4		4	All right. I apologize, again, for being
5		5	late. It's 9:03, June 21st housing authority
6	JACKSONVILLE HOUSING AUTHORITY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS	6	Finance Meeting.
7	FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING	7	Is there an agenda?
8		8	COMMISIONER BROCK: Yes.
9		9	(document tendered)
10	TAKEN: Wednesday, June 21, 2023	10	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Thank you.
11	TIME: 9:03 a.m. to 9:51 a.m.	11	All right. Is there any public comment?
	PLACE: Jacksonville Housing Authority	12	(no response)
13	1300 North Broad Street Jacksonville, Florida 32202	13	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: All right.
14	and Teams	14	Hearing none we'll move on to approval of the last
15	Taken by Carol DeBee Martin, court reporter.	15	meeting's minutes.
16		16	Do I have a motion to approve the minutes?
17		17	We can't vote at all, right?
18		18	CEO: Yes, ma'am. You only need two for a
19		19	quorum.
20	Carol DeBee Martin	20	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Is that right?
21	Jacksonville Court Reporting, Inc. 1620 Bartram Road, Apt. 6111	21	MS. HODGES: There are three members of your
22	Jacksonville, Florida 32207	22	committee. So you can vote.
23	(904) 465-0787 (cell) debeemartin@aol.com	23	COMMISSIONER GREEN: Who is the third?
		24	COURT REPORTER: What?
24 25		25	COMMISSIONER GREEN: Who's the third?
	Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES:	1	MS. HODGES: Brock. If not, correct me if
2	DWAYNE ALEXANDER, PRESIDENT/CEO	2	I'm wrong.
	CHAIRWOMAN HEATHER HOROVITZ	3	(Commissioner Brock entered the room.)
3	COMMISSIONER HARRIET BROCK COMMISSIONER ANDRE GREEN	4	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Oh, there we go.
4	KORT PARDE, ESQUIRE,	5	Good morning.
5	LAWSIKIA HODGES, ESQUIRE, EVANN MORRIS	6	COMMISSIONER BROCK: Good morning.
	ANTONIO PEREZ	7	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Thank you for being
6	DANIEL MITCHELL	8	here.
7	VANESSA DUNN DENNIS LOHR, CFO	9	Good morning. Okay. Commissioner Brock,
	GREGORY WILLIAMS	10	we just passed public comment. There was no
8	MICHAEL EDGAR COLENE ORSINI	11	public comment.
9	TODD AUBUCHON	12	We're moving to approving last meeting's
	CATHY HUNT	13	minutes.
10	CORDELIA PARKER LINDA SIMS	14	Do I have a motion to approve last meeting's
11	TANYA DEMPSEY (CSG presentation)	15	minutes?
12	VIA VIDEOCONEEDENCE	16	COMMISSIONER BROCK: Yes.
13 14	VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE COMMISSIONER CRAIG SHOUP	17	COMMISSIONER GREEN: I second.
15		18	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Okay. Great.
16 17		19	What do I do now?
18		20	MS. HODGES: All those in favor
19		21	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Oh, yes. Thank you.
20 21		22	We haven't had a meeting in a long time.
22		23	All those in favor of approving the minutes
23		24	say, "Aye."
24 25		25	COMMISSIONER GREEN: Aye.

	Page 5		Page 7
1	COMMISSIONER BROCK: Aye.	1	year.
2	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: All right. Hearing no	2	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Okay.
3	opposition, the minutes are passed.	3	CFO: Looking at our central office
4	We'll move on to the agency financial	4	we've updated our drafts a little bit to
5	overview, Mr. Lohr.	5	show the trending line and also our budget.
6	CFO: Good morning, everyone.	6	The past three months we've been over budget
7	COMMISSIONER BROCK: Good morning, Dennis.	7	with our income. We ended May at \$564,412,
8	CFO: We're looking at our financials today	8	and our trending line is trending slightly up.
9	as of May, 2023. Looking at our net operating	9	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: That's a great draft.
10	income by source we're doing very well overall.	10	Thank you.
11	Our total operating income by source for the	11	CFO: You're welcome.
12	month of May was \$191,358. Our year to date		And then, for our expenses, we've had one
	number is \$2,976,727.	12	·
13		13	month that we were over budget on our expenses.  Typically, we've been under budget with our
14	Our RAD properties are also doing very well.  Net income for the month is \$88,598, and net	14	expenses.
15		15	
16	income for year to date is \$944,676.	16	We ended May at \$488,403, and our typical
17	And then, for our housing assistance	17	trend is a slight increase in our expenses closer
18	payments, we're showing a loss of \$1,000,000 for the month, which means we're leasing up higher	18	to where the budget number is.
19		19	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Can we stay there for a
20	than HUD is giving us money for.	20	second? CFO: Yes.
21	We have reached out to HUD to request a	21	
22	release of HUD-held reserves. So we're	22	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: So that reads to me
23	anticipating getting those in the month of June.	23	that we're under budget?
24	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Can we stay there for a	24	CFO: Yes.
25	second?	25	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: But, did you say we
	Page 6		Page 8
1	Just for my knowledge, can you explain that	1	were over budget?
2	to me?	2	CFO: We are under budget year to date,
3	Is that a normal occurrence?	3	but the trend is that we're moving closer to the
4	CFO: It is a normal occurrence, because the	4	budget.
5	Section 8 funding is based on our VMS reporting.	5	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Okay. I heard you
6	So, as we're leasing up, our funding is kind of	6	wrong. I'm sorry.
7	trailing.	7	CFO: So, as we move forward, the trends
8	So HUD will give us funding based on	8	are increasing closer to where we anticipated them
9	six months ago. So, if our leasing six months	9	to be.
10	ago was lower than what our leasing is now,	10	Looking at our public housing, we finished
11	they'll give us less funding because of that.	11	the month at \$1,195,867. We're slightly below
12	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Has HUD ever not sent	12	budget due to our operating subsidy.
13	released funding to support how you lease?	13	HUD funds us based on our based on our
14	CFO: No.	14	need. So we're just under for the month.
15	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Okay.	15	The trending line is slightly down. So we're
16	CFO: There are two things that we have,	16	we've typically been right at budget,
17	and one is the budget authority. That's the	17	and it's coming down, too, just slightly.
1	total amount of our award for this year,	18	Looking at our operating expenses we have
18	and then they fund us based on our need.	19	been below budget all but two months for our
18 19	and their they fund us based on our need.		C   1   144 C   1   C   1   C   1   1   C   1   1
	So our budget authority is higher than what	20	fiscal year. We finished off May at \$1,340,545,
19		20 21	and our trending line is trending up towards
19 20	So our budget authority is higher than what		
19 20 21	So our budget authority is higher than what our need has been trending. So we have that	21	and our trending line is trending up towards
19 20 21 22	So our budget authority is higher than what our need has been trending. So we have that difference that HUD holds onto. It's called	21 22	and our trending line is trending up towards what we've budgeted.

Page 9 Page 11 has been increasing, and we're slightly above 1 We're pretty much right on track with our budget 2 2 and with our trend line. COMMISSIONER GREEN: Question. And, for our expenses, we finished at 3 3 \$181,058, so below budget, and the trend line is Why is it? 4 4 increasing but still below budget. 5 CFO: So, for the voucher program, 5 6 we're funded based -- we receive an administrative 6 And then, for our estimated reserves. fee for each voucher that we have leased. we ended the month at about \$44,000,000. 7 So, as we lease up vouchers, we receive more 8 So we're doing very well there. \$18,883,000 for 8 9 income. public housing. So overall we're doing well. COMMISSIONER GREEN: Right. And then every month we look at our 10 10 11 CFO: So --11 Quick Ratio and our MENAR. Our Quick Ratio at Victory Pointe is COMMISSIONER GREEN: But you would have 12 12 13 budgeted for, what is that, 13 32. Riviera is 29, and overall our MENAR is 500-something-thousand? 600,000? now 16.27. So, again, we continue to increase the 14 14 CFO: Yes. I think that budget line is a number of months that we can operate without any 15 15 little low, but we budgeted a little bit higher additional HUD subsidy. 16 16 17 than that. But the trend -- the trend is 17 And then, looking at our RAD properties, we're into the fifth month of our fiscal year for increasing as we go as we lease up additional 18 18 19 vouchers. 19 our RAD properties. So, for our expenses, we're a little bit The Waves -- we're right on track with our 20 20 21 above budget at \$636,398. Our trend line has been 21 budget and our trend line. We ended May \$151,715. 22 pretty stable, a little bit above budget. 22 Our expenses for May are slightly above budget at And then, for Gregory West, we're right on \$77,215. 23 23 budget. Our trend line is pretty much right on 24 24 For Centennial Towers, we're right on budget with our income, \$150,191, and our trend has been 25 budget. So Gregory West is doing very well. 25 Page 10 Page 12 For the month of May, we have \$138,205 of slightly up, as the years progressed. 1 1 And then, for our expenses for Centennial, revenue, and then the same thing pretty much for 2 2 our operating expenses. We're below budget on our 3 3 we're up for the month of May at \$153,940, and the trend has been slightly up. expenses at \$67,352. So our Gregory West property 4 4 And then, for Hogan Creek, we finished 5 is doing very well. 5 For our Jax Beach Rehab property, we're right the month for our revenue of \$146,193. 6 6 7 on budget, again, with what we've budgeted. 7 We're typically above budget or right at budget Our trend line is slightly up on our revenue, 8 8 for our income, and our trend line is trending 9 and we finished off May at \$78,147. slightly up. 9 10 For our expenses, the trend is increasing as 10 And then, for our expenses, we're down for the year has progressed. We finished May at the month of May at \$81,036, and our overall trend 11 11 \$62,894, and we've generally been below budget on 12 12 has been below budget and trending down. our expenses. 13 13 Any questions? 14 CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: What's driving that 14 CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Yes. Explain to me increase? 15 15 if I'm like thinking about this wrong. 16 CFO: There is some additional maintenance So we have additional HUD reserves --16 work that's been done at the properties that's 17 17 CFO: Yes. increased the costs overall. 18 CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: -- but we're behind on 18 COMMISSIONER GREEN: Is that The Waves? 19 19 HUD payments. CFO: This is the Jax Beach Rehab property. 20 20 How are we storing up reserves, but we're --So it's the other half of The Waves that didn't 21 CFO: It's the amount of money that HUD 21 22 get rebuilt. So these were just remodeled. releases to us. So they fund us based on our 22 COMMISSIONER GREEN: Okay. 23 23 performance six months ago. 24 CFO: And then looking at Brentwood 24 So, if six months ago we had fewer vouchers we finished the month of May at \$78,137. 25 25 leased, they're going to release less money to us

Page 13 Page 15 for that particular month. 1 1 We have 30 state and local agencies, 2 CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: So, what's funding the 33 public housing clients. We financed 600-plus 2 reserves if they're releasing less than we need? 3 homeownership units. We've done a ton of bond 3 CFO: We have additional reserves built up 4 issuances, and we've been in business since 1978. 4 in that program that we're using until we get the 5 5 So we have a lot of experience doing this 6 additional funding from HUD. type of work for the housing authority both as an 6 CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: And, are the reserves 7 7 HFA that's issuing bonds and as a housing 8 growing, or those are -authority. 8 9 CFO: The reserves that we are holding with That just gives you a little bit of context 9 HUD have typically been growing based on our 10 for why I'm here. One of the reasons why I was 10 11 voucher leases. As we lease up, we anticipate 11 asked to speak is because we are trying to adopt 12 that those reserves will go down. underwriting guidelines for your development 12 13 CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: I'd like to understand 13 program. that better later, but we can take it offline --14 And, you know, really these are criteria that 14 CFO: Yes. 15 15 should be applied to any proposal that the CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: -- if anyone else 16 16 housing authority receives to purchase or to issue 17 doesn't care. 17 18 CFO: Any questions? So the purpose of the underwriting guidelines 18 19 (no response) is essentially to ensure financial soundness and 19 CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Anything else? 20 success of the project, identify and mitigate 20 COMMISSIONER GREEN: (inaudible) 21 any potential risks, make sure that we're 21 COURT REPORTER: What? 22 22 generating sufficient revenue, you know, 23 I'm sorry. having some standards around debt service, 23 COMMISSIONER GREEN: I was saying that was a 24 24 maximizing resources and promoting fairness and 25 good question about the reserves. It was a little stability within this process. 25 Page 14 Page 16 confusing. So, what does that mean? 1 CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Commissioner Brock. 2 So there is two types of underwriting 2 3 COMMISSIONER BROCK: No. 3 guidelines that we have here. One is during CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Thank you, Mr. Lohr. 4 construction, and one is in the permanent 4 CFO: Okay. Thank you. 5 5 operating period. COMMISSIONER HOROVITZ: And we're moving on 6 6 So the construction underwriting guidelines 7 to the CSG presentation. are, you know, the total development costs. 7 MS. DEMPSEY: Hi. 8 8 Right? 9 CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: We have Ms. Dempsey, 9 We're trying to measure the efficiency 10 Tanya Dempsey. 10 and financial feasibility here. Contractor fees are general conditions for managing a contract. 11 MS. DEMPSEY: I'm going to come up here. 11 12 I'm like considerably shorter. So bear with me. Contractor's overhead and profit -- these are 12 indirect expenses for the contractor. We like to 13 All right. So thanks so much for having me. 13 14 I'm a financial adviser, and some of you I've 14 limit this to 14 percent. Contingencies -- different for new 15 met in person. Some of you I've met remotely. 15 16 Just to give you a little introduction, 16 construction and rehab. Typically, we have requirements of 10 percent for a rehab and I'm with CSG. So CSG helps public agencies 17 17 5 percent for a new construction. 18 leverage financing to build stronger communities. 18 Land acquisition values -- so we typically 19 That's our mission 19 look at this from an NOI perspective first, right, 20 We have been in business for a really long 20 time. We're 100-percent employee owned. 21 which is how much income does the project support, 21 22 and what is the related value as a result of 22 53-percent women-owned. Hoo-hoo. And, over the that? past 22 years, we've advised on more housing 23 23 24 So that metric I think is very unique from, issues than any other financial advisery from the 24 you know, commercial real estate, where you're 25 country. 25

Page 17 Page 19 CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Okay. I just mean looking at an appraisal. We like to always use an 1 appraisal, but we want to limit the value based on 2 that, if we're going to be looking at policy, 2 that moving forward in underwriting deals that we the NOI. 3 3 should assume that that would be a certain spread Because, if you have an affordable unit, 4 4 over market rate as just general policy. 5 right, and there's restriction on that unit, 5 which we want to put into place because we're 6 MS. DEMPSEY: Yeah. Sure, sure. 6 Do you have an idea of specifically what you 7 promoting affordable housing, then the value at a 7 think that spread should be? market rate could be 10 times the value of income 8 8 CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: I mean even a half you're going to get from that project. So we want 9 9 to make it very clear what metrics we're using to percent 10 10 11 value each of the sites. 11 MS. DEMPSEY: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: But just knowing that 12 And then financing costs -- right --12 we tend to keep the financing costs. We want to 13 it's -- like today, it being at 5 1/2 --13 I bet it's probably going to be 5 1/2 by next make sure that the interest rate and the 14 14 15 amortization is in line with the market and even 15 MS. DEMPSEY: Yes. Okay. We can certainly 16 little bit higher, right, because there is risk. 16 17 incorporate that. I think that's helpful 17 feedback. 18 So there are going to be folks that come to 18 you, and say, "No, no. We can get this at 19 Again, I've seen some agencies do a full 19 3.3 percent." 20 point. I've seen some agencies take half a 20 And it's like, "Ah, conventional debt." 21 point. So I think that makes sense. 21 So we're between like -- I just closed a deal 22 Developer fee. So a developer fee is like an 22 yesterday. We locked at 5.8. interesting line item, right, because, in the HUD 23 23 24 24 world, HUD specifically limits developer fee. So you're using a 3.3 percent rate and 25 And they say that you can take 15 percent of the 25 Page 18 you get 5 percent, there's a gap, right, total development costs, less reserves, less fee, 1 2 2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 20

and there is real risk there.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

So we prefer to be -- I'm superconservative in the way that we underwrite, and that's part of the reason why, if we adopt really, you know, conservative requirements, then you, as the housing authority, will be protected, which is my job, right, to make sure that you guys are making good financial decisions.

CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Can I ask a --MS. DEMPSEY: No, no, no. Go for it. CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: -- so we're talking about writing policy for the housing authority?

MS. DEMPSEY: Yes. This is just a summary.

COMMISSIONER HOROVITZ: So we would be looking at something like a certain spread over market rate that would be built into the policy when we're looking at interest rates?

MS. DEMPSEY: I mean yes, yes. Typically, when we're underwriting, no matter what I'm going to be taking, you know, I usually start with 6 percent of like a kind of, you know, middle ground. But, yeah. I think that we would be assuming some spread among whatever the market less acquisition.

And so I like that rule, because I think it 3 4 does -- you can point to someone that's not you. "This is the HUD standard, and so that's what 5 we're proposing here." 6

> And then, on reserves, reserves are also tricky. So there's soft cost reserves. I've seen development budgets where there is like 15 percent soft cost contingency, and, you know, we push back on that.

> Because you should know. Like it shouldn't be more than -- it should never be more than 5 percent in my opinion. We have typically around 3 percent, which is how we start our underwriting.

Depending on -- you know who's costs are the highest actually?

Legal.

I feel like we always underestimate legal costs and have to pull from soft cost contingency. So, you know, in the standards that we have set up, we have 3 percent right now. But we can adjust that to 5 depending on your comfort level.

And then operating reserves and replacement reserves -- usually replacement reserves are on an

move into the building, right, and that allows you

to support more debt.

Page 21 Page 23 ongoing basis. So they're set at like somewhere 1 mortgage you can support -- the project can 1 like somewhere between 350 and 500 a unit. 2 support. 2 And so, you know, maintenance costs -and then the operating reserves are somewhere 3 3 between three months and six months. 4 we expect those to be -- you know, a change 4 5 So those would be kind of the standards 5 in any of the requirements as you guys see fit. depending on -- you can always adjust the 6 You guys are excellent property managers. 6 standards and make exceptions, but I think it is So I think you guys have a better sense if 7 7 important to make sure that there is a standard 8 there should be specific cost limitations to that 8 across every deal. 9 line item. 9 Right? Management fee is typically 8 percent of 10 10 11 So that, if you're making a decision 11 gross income. because you believe in the deal, that's okay. 12 Miscellaneous operating costs could be things 12 13 You're allowed to do that, but at least everybody 13 like fees back to the housing authority actually. in the room is on the same page. Debt service coverage ratio -- again, this is 14 14 15 Questions? 15 one of the ratios that I think we're pretty specific about on what we're looking for in each (no response) 16 16 MS. DEMPSEY: All right. Here is the actual 17 17 of the deals. And then this trend is 2 percent list. 7 percent and 7 percent. So this is a and 3 percent, again, superconservative. 18 18 total of 14. Maximum of 5 for new. 10 for rehab. 19 19 Folks that come to you -- they don't So here's the -- right -- so we assume underwrite like this. They underwrite at like 20 20 a 5.5 percent cap rate. So that's, again, 21 3 and 3 or 4 and 3 where their revenue grows more 21 the NOI divided by 5.5 percent. 22 than the expense. 22 23 Construction interest. So construction 23 And you're kind of like, "Really?" interest is an interesting line item also, Like that makes the pro forma work, right, 24 24 because there are lots of ways to save money in and so it shows you a very rosy picture. 25 25 Page 22 Page 24 But, if you force this -- we call it --1 1 In most deals, they require that all of your "uneven trending," then you know that you have 2 2 bonds -- when they, you know, present this to you, 3 enough money. 3 they say, "Okay. So all the bonds are going to be 4 4 Because, you know, you can't really rely on a 5 drawn up-front." 2 percent increase. 5 6 So you're incurring like interest costs This year you can, right? 6 Day One. We need that, because you pass by more The OCAF increased like 5 percent or 7 7 8 money. So we typically like to assume a drawdown something, but that's not typical. 8 model developer fee lesser than million --Right? 9 9 10 we put this in here, because I think sometimes 10 So there is going to be some years where you get 5 percent and some years where you get housing authorities are very specific about what 11 11 they're looking for or 15 percent of the total 2 percent and some years where you might get 12 12 1 percent. development costs, four months of operating 13 13 14 reserves I mentioned earlier, and then 500 of 14 Right? And that's why the 2-percent trend is there, 15 15 CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Is the drawdown 16 to kind of offset the ups and downs. 16 Vacancy rate -- so this is typically 17 based on phases of construction? 17 5 percent. So I get into fights with lenders all MS. DEMPSEY: -- yes, yes. Exactly. 18 18 the time about this, because, in affordable 19 Because like you're essentially borrowing money 19 housing where you have a wait list, I make an 20 keeping it in an account before you spend it. 20 So what we're suggesting is that you just 21 argument that this should be 3 percent. 21 22 draw it when you need it. 22 Because you have a supply of folks that can 23 23 Okay. Operating budget assumptions.

24

25

24

25

So this is also -- this is how we -- the operating

budget assumptions are how we determine what

	Page 25		Page 27
1	But, for buildings for which you are raising	1	"The value of the debt exceeds the value that we
2	money to finance that you are not putting your	2	think the property is worth today."
3	tenants into those buildings, we should look at	3	Right?
4	a 5-percent vacancy.	4	So, basically, you're borrowing debt assuming
5	Does that make sense, the distinction?	5	that ten years from now the project increases
6	Yes.	6	in value, which we don't like, because that means
7	So, again, this is a negotiating item where	7	your loan-to-value is less than 1.
8	if, you know, maybe we say, "Okay. If you pull	8	Does that make sense?
9	from our wait list, we will have more flexibility	9	Yes.
10	on this vacancy, because we're providing the	10	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Do we have a standard
11	supply."	11	that we're looking for it all to be?
12	Right?	12	MS. DEMPSEY: Yes, yes. So we have not to
13	And you have a very robust wait list, correct?	13	exceed 80 percent, right?
14	COMMISSIONER GREEN: Question. Back to the	14	So like we don't want the loan to be more
15	other side, you say you ran a 5.5 percent	15	than 80 percent of what we are establishing the
16	5.5 cap rate.	16	value of the property.
17	MS. DEMPSEY: Yes.	17	Right?
18	COMMISSIONER GREEN: Is that kind of	18	So this is a circular reference, right?
19	conservative?	19	If the developer is coming to you,
20	MS. DEMPSEY: It's totally conservative,	20	and saying, "No. You can support 10,000,000."
21	totally conservative.	21	And we think, "Okay. Maybe we'll buy into
22	COMMISSIONER GREEN: But for affordable	22	10,000,000, but we only think the property is
23	housing?	23	worth 8,000,000 today, then that's not
24	MS. DEMPSEY: Yes. I mean it's actually	24	that's not good. Because you have more debt on
25	the cap rate typically varies by location.	25	the project versus the value today."
	Page 26		Page 28
1	Right?	1	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Are we going to
2	So, when you're on the coast, like New York	2	consider, when we're writing policy, exceptions to
	and California that sould be like 4 paraent		acceptable LTV/ like if we had multiple accurace of
3	and California, that could be like 4 percent,	3	acceptable LTV, like if we had multiple sources of
3	right, cap rate.	3	funding?
4	right, cap rate.	4	funding?
4 5	right, cap rate.  And then there are some, like Minneapolis,	4 5	funding?  MS. DEMPSEY: Absolutely. I think that's
4 5 6	right, cap rate.  And then there are some, like Minneapolis, for example, which would be at, you know,	4 5 6	funding?  MS. DEMPSEY: Absolutely. I think that's absolutely correct.
4 5 6 7	right, cap rate.  And then there are some, like Minneapolis, for example, which would be at, you know, maybe 6 percent almost 7 percent in some areas.	4 5 6 7	funding?  MS. DEMPSEY: Absolutely. I think that's absolutely correct.  You take that risk, right?
4 5 6 7 8	right, cap rate.  And then there are some, like Minneapolis, for example, which would be at, you know, maybe 6 percent almost 7 percent in some areas.  So I think 5.5 percent is conservative as a result	4 5 6 7 8	funding?  MS. DEMPSEY: Absolutely. I think that's absolutely correct.  You take that risk, right?  So, you know, I think that these are
4 5 6 7 8 9	right, cap rate.  And then there are some, like Minneapolis, for example, which would be at, you know, maybe 6 percent almost 7 percent in some areas. So I think 5.5 percent is conservative as a result of that.	4 5 6 7 8 9	funding?  MS. DEMPSEY: Absolutely. I think that's absolutely correct.  You take that risk, right?  So, you know, I think that these are guidelines and benchmarks for you to look
4 5 6 7 8 9	right, cap rate.  And then there are some, like Minneapolis, for example, which would be at, you know, maybe 6 percent almost 7 percent in some areas. So I think 5.5 percent is conservative as a result of that.  If we looked at a market study, the market	4 5 6 7 8 9	funding?  MS. DEMPSEY: Absolutely. I think that's absolutely correct.  You take that risk, right?  So, you know, I think that these are guidelines and benchmarks for you to look uniform, like in uniform fashion, with all these
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	right, cap rate.  And then there are some, like Minneapolis, for example, which would be at, you know, maybe 6 percent almost 7 percent in some areas. So I think 5.5 percent is conservative as a result of that.  If we looked at a market study, the market study may say it's actually 5 percent or 5.5	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	funding?  MS. DEMPSEY: Absolutely. I think that's absolutely correct.  You take that risk, right?  So, you know, I think that these are guidelines and benchmarks for you to look uniform, like in uniform fashion, with all these projects.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	right, cap rate.  And then there are some, like Minneapolis, for example, which would be at, you know, maybe 6 percent almost 7 percent in some areas. So I think 5.5 percent is conservative as a result of that.  If we looked at a market study, the market study may say it's actually 5 percent or 5.5 or something.  COMMISSIONER GREEN: But, for affordable housing, it's the same as market rate cap rates?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	funding?  MS. DEMPSEY: Absolutely. I think that's absolutely correct.  You take that risk, right?  So, you know, I think that these are guidelines and benchmarks for you to look uniform, like in uniform fashion, with all these projects.  But, ultimately, if you really are passionate
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	right, cap rate.  And then there are some, like Minneapolis, for example, which would be at, you know, maybe 6 percent almost 7 percent in some areas. So I think 5.5 percent is conservative as a result of that.  If we looked at a market study, the market study may say it's actually 5 percent or 5.5 or something.  COMMISSIONER GREEN: But, for affordable housing, it's the same as market rate cap rates?  MS. DEMPSEY: Yes, yes. We're using	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	funding?  MS. DEMPSEY: Absolutely. I think that's absolutely correct.  You take that risk, right?  So, you know, I think that these are guidelines and benchmarks for you to look uniform, like in uniform fashion, with all these projects.  But, ultimately, if you really are passionate about a project and we're at you know,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	right, cap rate.  And then there are some, like Minneapolis, for example, which would be at, you know, maybe 6 percent almost 7 percent in some areas. So I think 5.5 percent is conservative as a result of that.  If we looked at a market study, the market study may say it's actually 5 percent or 5.5 or something.  COMMISSIONER GREEN: But, for affordable housing, it's the same as market rate cap rates?  MS. DEMPSEY: Yes, yes. We're using yes. We're using the income for the affordable	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	funding?  MS. DEMPSEY: Absolutely. I think that's absolutely correct.  You take that risk, right?  So, you know, I think that these are guidelines and benchmarks for you to look uniform, like in uniform fashion, with all these projects.  But, ultimately, if you really are passionate about a project and we're at you know, it's 100 percent maybe it's 110 percent
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	right, cap rate.  And then there are some, like Minneapolis, for example, which would be at, you know, maybe 6 percent almost 7 percent in some areas. So I think 5.5 percent is conservative as a result of that.  If we looked at a market study, the market study may say it's actually 5 percent or 5.5 or something.  COMMISSIONER GREEN: But, for affordable housing, it's the same as market rate cap rates?  MS. DEMPSEY: Yes, yes. We're using yes. We're using the income for the affordable and the cap rate for the market in total, right?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	funding?  MS. DEMPSEY: Absolutely. I think that's absolutely correct.  You take that risk, right?  So, you know, I think that these are guidelines and benchmarks for you to look uniform, like in uniform fashion, with all these projects.  But, ultimately, if you really are passionate about a project and we're at you know, it's 100 percent maybe it's 110 percent loan-to-value, but you're putting money in because
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	right, cap rate.  And then there are some, like Minneapolis, for example, which would be at, you know, maybe 6 percent almost 7 percent in some areas. So I think 5.5 percent is conservative as a result of that.  If we looked at a market study, the market study may say it's actually 5 percent or 5.5 or something.  COMMISSIONER GREEN: But, for affordable housing, it's the same as market rate cap rates?  MS. DEMPSEY: Yes, yes. We're using yes. We're using the income for the affordable and the cap rate for the market in total, right? It's a little bit of an apples plus oranges.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	funding?  MS. DEMPSEY: Absolutely. I think that's absolutely correct.  You take that risk, right?  So, you know, I think that these are guidelines and benchmarks for you to look uniform, like in uniform fashion, with all these projects.  But, ultimately, if you really are passionate about a project and we're at you know, it's 100 percent maybe it's 110 percent loan-to-value, but you're putting money in because you believe in, you know, providing an additional
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	right, cap rate.  And then there are some, like Minneapolis, for example, which would be at, you know, maybe 6 percent almost 7 percent in some areas. So I think 5.5 percent is conservative as a result of that.  If we looked at a market study, the market study may say it's actually 5 percent or 5.5 or something.  COMMISSIONER GREEN: But, for affordable housing, it's the same as market rate cap rates?  MS. DEMPSEY: Yes, yes. We're using yes. We're using the income for the affordable and the cap rate for the market in total, right?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	funding?  MS. DEMPSEY: Absolutely. I think that's absolutely correct.  You take that risk, right?  So, you know, I think that these are guidelines and benchmarks for you to look uniform, like in uniform fashion, with all these projects.  But, ultimately, if you really are passionate about a project and we're at you know, it's 100 percent maybe it's 110 percent loan-to-value, but you're putting money in because you believe in, you know, providing an additional 30 percent AMI, that's your decision to make.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	right, cap rate.  And then there are some, like Minneapolis, for example, which would be at, you know, maybe 6 percent almost 7 percent in some areas. So I think 5.5 percent is conservative as a result of that.  If we looked at a market study, the market study may say it's actually 5 percent or 5.5 or something.  COMMISSIONER GREEN: But, for affordable housing, it's the same as market rate cap rates?  MS. DEMPSEY: Yes, yes. We're using yes. We're using the income for the affordable and the cap rate for the market in total, right? It's a little bit of an apples plus oranges.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	funding?  MS. DEMPSEY: Absolutely. I think that's absolutely correct.  You take that risk, right?  So, you know, I think that these are guidelines and benchmarks for you to look uniform, like in uniform fashion, with all these projects.  But, ultimately, if you really are passionate about a project and we're at you know, it's 100 percent maybe it's 110 percent loan-to-value, but you're putting money in because you believe in, you know, providing an additional 30 percent AMI, that's your decision to make.  Right?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	right, cap rate.  And then there are some, like Minneapolis, for example, which would be at, you know, maybe 6 percent almost 7 percent in some areas. So I think 5.5 percent is conservative as a result of that.  If we looked at a market study, the market study may say it's actually 5 percent or 5.5 or something.  COMMISSIONER GREEN: But, for affordable housing, it's the same as market rate cap rates?  MS. DEMPSEY: Yes, yes. We're using yes. We're using the income for the affordable and the cap rate for the market in total, right?  It's a little bit of an apples plus oranges.  And then loan-to-value okay. So the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	funding?  MS. DEMPSEY: Absolutely. I think that's absolutely correct.  You take that risk, right?  So, you know, I think that these are guidelines and benchmarks for you to look uniform, like in uniform fashion, with all these projects.  But, ultimately, if you really are passionate about a project and we're at you know, it's 100 percent maybe it's 110 percent loan-to-value, but you're putting money in because you believe in, you know, providing an additional 30 percent AMI, that's your decision to make.  Right?  I'm just giving you the benchmarks.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	right, cap rate.  And then there are some, like Minneapolis, for example, which would be at, you know, maybe 6 percent almost 7 percent in some areas. So I think 5.5 percent is conservative as a result of that.  If we looked at a market study, the market study may say it's actually 5 percent or 5.5 or something.  COMMISSIONER GREEN: But, for affordable housing, it's the same as market rate cap rates?  MS. DEMPSEY: Yes, yes. We're using yes. We're using the income for the affordable and the cap rate for the market in total, right? It's a little bit of an apples plus oranges.  And then loan-to-value okay. So the loan-to-value compares the amount of the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	funding?  MS. DEMPSEY: Absolutely. I think that's absolutely correct.  You take that risk, right?  So, you know, I think that these are guidelines and benchmarks for you to look uniform, like in uniform fashion, with all these projects.  But, ultimately, if you really are passionate about a project and we're at you know, it's 100 percent maybe it's 110 percent loan-to-value, but you're putting money in because you believe in, you know, providing an additional 30 percent AMI, that's your decision to make.  Right?  I'm just giving you the benchmarks.  CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Yes. I have a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	right, cap rate.  And then there are some, like Minneapolis, for example, which would be at, you know, maybe 6 percent almost 7 percent in some areas. So I think 5.5 percent is conservative as a result of that.  If we looked at a market study, the market study may say it's actually 5 percent or 5.5 or something.  COMMISSIONER GREEN: But, for affordable housing, it's the same as market rate cap rates?  MS. DEMPSEY: Yes, yes. We're using yes. We're using the income for the affordable and the cap rate for the market in total, right? It's a little bit of an apples plus oranges.  And then loan-to-value okay. So the loan-to-value compares the amount of the loan with the appraised value of the existing	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	funding?  MS. DEMPSEY: Absolutely. I think that's absolutely correct.  You take that risk, right?  So, you know, I think that these are guidelines and benchmarks for you to look uniform, like in uniform fashion, with all these projects.  But, ultimately, if you really are passionate about a project and we're at you know, it's 100 percent maybe it's 110 percent loan-to-value, but you're putting money in because you believe in, you know, providing an additional 30 percent AMI, that's your decision to make.  Right?  I'm just giving you the benchmarks.  CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Yes. I have a question I think more for the team maybe legal.  So, as we're writing a policy for the housing authority, maybe we should consider
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	right, cap rate.  And then there are some, like Minneapolis, for example, which would be at, you know, maybe 6 percent almost 7 percent in some areas. So I think 5.5 percent is conservative as a result of that.  If we looked at a market study, the market study may say it's actually 5 percent or 5.5 or something.  COMMISSIONER GREEN: But, for affordable housing, it's the same as market rate cap rates?  MS. DEMPSEY: Yes, yes. We're using yes. We're using the income for the affordable and the cap rate for the market in total, right? It's a little bit of an apples plus oranges.  And then loan-to-value okay. So the loan-to-value compares the amount of the loan with the appraised value of the existing property.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	funding?  MS. DEMPSEY: Absolutely. I think that's absolutely correct.  You take that risk, right?  So, you know, I think that these are guidelines and benchmarks for you to look uniform, like in uniform fashion, with all these projects.  But, ultimately, if you really are passionate about a project and we're at you know, it's 100 percent maybe it's 110 percent loan-to-value, but you're putting money in because you believe in, you know, providing an additional 30 percent AMI, that's your decision to make.  Right?  I'm just giving you the benchmarks.  CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Yes. I have a question I think more for the team maybe legal.  So, as we're writing a policy for the

Page 29 Page 31 Loan Committee. All right. Presentation of operations 1 2 MS. DEMPSEY: Yes. 2 resolutions, Mr. Alexander. CHAIRMAN HOROVITZ: Maybe we need to CEO: Yes. Thank you. 3 3 figure out what that looks like for the We are looking to take to the board 4 4 housing authority -- maybe a Loan Committee. Resolution No. 2023-JHA-23. This is the 5 5 MS. DEMPSEY: Yes. I mean I think that was 6 E. B. Morris contract. 6 part -- we had this conversation with the Chair 7 7 Basically, this is just a modification. 8 right when we were developing this. One of the sites that we have that had a fire --8 9 You know, instead of a Loan Committee, the contractor went in and tore back around the 9 maybe the projects do come to the board in total 10 windowsill and saw there was extensive termite 10 as like, "Here." 11 11 damage. 12 The board acts as a Loan Committee, 12 So we're looking to increase the contract by 13 right, and there is like a, you know, kind of about \$1,168.56. The original contract is more 13 established cadence for what that looks like. 14 than my budget authority, which is 150-. 14 CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Uh-huh. 15 So that's why we're bringing it back the board 15 MS. DEMPSEY: I think that was the idea. 16 even though it's only \$1100. 16 17 CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: That's good. CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Thank you. 17 That works 18 18 Can I ask a question about -- do we have --19 MS. DEMPSEY: Yes. 19 just as a homeowner, I'm thinking. I think that's it. All set. 20 Do we have termite bonds on our properties? 20 21 Any questions? Like, how do we treat termites at the 21 COMMISSIONER GREEN: Will we get a copy of 22 22 properties? 23 that? 23 CEO: So, typically, we have a service where MS. DEMPSEY: Yes. We drafted a memo that 24 24 we go out and treat. Some of our maintenance men outlines everything that we can certainly 25 do have the skill set to be able to treat some of 25 Page 30 Page 32 distribute. them. But we do have contracts with vendors so 1 CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: I just have a question 2 that they can go out and treat the properties. 2 3 on timing. So it sounds like you're working with 3 In cases where it may be extreme, the housing authority to prepare a policy that we typically -- like, in the past, we may just 4 4 tent the whole entire property to eradicate 5 Dwayne and you are going to bring to the board for 5 us to adopt? the problem altogether. 6 6 7 CEO: Yes. Typically, most times, we can find where 7 8 CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: What does that timing 8 the source is and address the source, but we do look like? have a pretty good exterminating contractor that 9 9 10 CEO: Hopefully, by our August meeting. 10 comes out and usually addresses it. And sometimes CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Okay. Maybe we could 11 it takes more than one treatment. 11 share it with this committee first to kind of ask CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Uh-huh. 12 12 questions and be more informed for the board CEO: But sometimes, when you do the 13 13 14 meeting? 14 treatment, it takes about 30 days to go into full 15 CEO: For sure. 15 effect. CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Okay. So the goal is Some folks don't have the patience. 16 16 17 for the August board meeting? 17 So we sometimes may put them in a hotel for a couple of days and go in and treat it ourselves on 18 18 CEO: That's correct. 19 CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Perfect. Okay. 19 top of what the contractor is doing. 20 CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: So thinking about the 20 Thank you. 21 JWB properties, how are we protecting those Thank you. Nothing else from me. 21 22 like moving forward? 22 Anything else? CEO: We are working it out now and making 23 23 (no response) 24 MS. DEMPSEY: Great. 24 sure that we have the required contracts on those CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Thank you. 25 properties so that we can maintain them. 25

1	Dogo 22		Dago 25
	Page 33		Page 35
1	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Uh-huh.	1	CEO: The insurance will cover anything after
2	CEO: We do have a maintenance staff that is	2	\$50,000.
3	responsible for that property, and our project	3	COMMISSIONER GREEN: Yes. No questions.
4	managers of the whole department are making	4	No questions.
5	sure that we have the existing warranties in	5	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Do we have a motion to
6	place, because the property is brand new,	6	approve the resolution?
7	and to make sure we follow up on the contracts.	7	COMMISSIONER GREEN: I make a motion.
8	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Okay. Thank you.	8	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Can I have a second?
9	Any questions?	9	COMMISSIONER BROCK: I second.
10	(no response)	10	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: All right. All in
11	COMMISSIONER GREEN: So almost a \$1,200	11	favor of approving the increase I apologize.
12	increase?	12	What is the Resolution Number?
13	CEO: Yes.	13	CEO: 23.
14	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: And you didn't have to	14	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: 23 say, "Aye."
15	replace the windows, or you were replacing the	15	COMMISSIONER GREEN: Aye.
16	windows?	16	COMMISSIONER BROCK: Aye.
17	CEO: Yes. We are replacing the windows.	17	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Any opposed?
18	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Okay. Sorry.	18	(no response)
19	And then you found the termite damage.	19	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: All right. Hearing
20	CEO: Yes.	20	none the motion passes.
21	Do you have a question, Commissioner Green?	21	Are there any other resolutions,
22	COMMISSIONER GREEN: Was it covered by	22	Mr. Alexander?
23	insurance?	23	CEO: No, ma'am.
24	CEO: No, no. We have a threshold with our	24	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: All right. Did you
25	insurance. Because we have insurance rates that	25	have any other business to discuss?
	Page 34		Page 36
1	went up so high, we have a threshold. I think	1	CEO: No.
2	it's 50,000.	2	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Are there any other
3	Is it 50,000?	3	questions from the committee?
4	CFO: Yeah.	4	COMMISSIONER GREEN: How long is our wait
5	CEO: It's like \$50,000 before we can	5	list?
6	actually claim anything. You know, anything under	6	CEO: Our waiting list?
7	\$50,000 we pay for.	7	COMMISSIONER GREEN: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER GREEN: What is the maximum?	8	CEO: So we have about 120,000-plus
9	And then, is it 224,000?	9	maybe close to like 130-, where we have
10	CEO: That's actually the contract to	10	for sure I know it's over 120,000 people on the
11	rehab the units. There were two units that were	11	waiting list.
12	involved in the fire. One is of them was heavily	12	Some of the waiting list is that's the
13	damaged with smoke, and the other one was damaged	13	total waiting list.
14	with the fire.	14	Like for Section 8, we may have more than
15	So, underneath the contract, we're	15	30,000 people on the Section 8 waiting list.
16	responsible for turning over both of those units,	16	On the Jax Beach waiting list, we've got more than
17	and one of those units is the one that we had	17	20-something-thousand people on that waiting list.
18	extensive termite damage around the windowsill.	18	COMMISSIONER GREEN: 30,000?
19	COMMISSIONER GREEN: Okay. But, what did it	19	CEO: 20,000.
20	cost, like 200-and-something-thousand?	20	COMMISSIONER GREEN: 20,000.
	Did one of the units cost	21	CEO: 20,000. I think the last time
21		22	
21 22	CEO: It's the total contract for both of	22	I checked it was like 22,000 on that waiting
21 22 23	CEO: It's the total contract for both of them, but it's 200-something-thousand.	23	list.
21 22	CEO: It's the total contract for both of		_

Page 37 Page 39 They gave us maybe, at the max, ten more, low-income housing. 1 2 So we typically pull 1500 people at a time. 2 but we asked for 500 more. CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Okay. So, if we pull 1500 off our waiting list, 3 3 CEO: So we always ask for more. we may get maybe 150 qualified people for the 4 4 And, in Dennis's report, he was explaining to 5 program that go through the process, not that they 5 might not -- that's to say the people that we pull 6 you how we were using up the money based on our 6 budget authority. off the waiting list -- not that they might not 7 8 So, typically, sometimes they do look at six need housing. They just don't qualify for what we 8 months, but, typically, sometimes they look at the 9 9 budget and go back a whole year. COMMISSIONER GREEN: That's like a family. 10 10 120,000 months. 11 So whatever your utilization was the year 11 CEO: How long it takes a family? 12 before that's how they fund you, but you can go 12 COMMISSIONER GREEN: No. I mean 120- to 13 up to the budget authority. 13 So we're always pushing to utilize 130,000 -- that's like not 120,000 separate 14 14 15 100 percent or even sometimes step a little bit individuals. That's like say a family of four 15 would count as four people. 16 above that, because then you can ask for more 16 CEO: So, if you factor that in, 17 17 And part of that also plays into the fact 18 that 120- to 130- may represent maybe 500,000, 18 if you include the composition. Those that are 19 that, at the end of the year, typically, 19 head of the household can apply for the program. 20 at the end of the fiscal year, which ends 20 21 September the 30th, they usually give some money CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: And, what is the 21 22 back to the housing authorities that performed 22 geography? very well. And we have been in good shape for the Is it only Duval County? 23 23 24 CEO: So our waiting list is open to anyone. 24 last several years to get some additional money. 25 CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Commissioner Brock. We do give a local preference. So, if someone 25 Page 38 Page 40 outside applied for housing, if you live in COMMISSIONER BROCK: Ms. Chair to 1 Mr. Alexander. 2 Duval County, you get first options before someone 2 3 3 Do you anticipate that HUD is going to give CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: So, if you're homeless, some more emergency vouchers? 4 4 are you considered Duval County? 5 5 CEO: So that was a very unique situation CEO: Yes. If you're homeless, I mean you that most of us have been advocating for, 6 6 7 have to get on the waiting list. 7 because those emergency vouchers were able to have an instant impact on it. Because they had \$3500 8 CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Okay. 8 CEO: And we will refer you to Changing 9 worth of service money. 9 10 Homelessness or some of our other partners, 10 So, if somebody got that voucher, then they 11 can actually pay a down payment. They can buy 11 but we do have limited situations. furniture. That worked perfect. 12 CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Okav. 12 13 So we advocate for those emergency vouchers, 13 Commissioner Brock. COMMISSIONER BROCK: Ms. Chair. I don't 14 or, if they can even also -- even if not at 14 \$3500, that service money that they gave for the 15 know if you was asking that question to see if we 15 have like what you would probably call, 16 emergency vouchers -- they can apply that to some 16 17 of the allocations that we have in terms of VASH, "emergency housing." 17 18 FUP and these other programs that we have that 18 We don't have any emergency housing. they can add that service money to. 19 We did have some emergency vouchers, but they're 19 20 COMMISSIONER BROCK: Did we ever add the 20 all gone now. 21 monies on the vouchers that we was awarded that CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Do we request more, 21 you told us about in one of our other meetings --22 or how does that work? 22 23 the money that HUD had given us for vouchers? 23 CEO: So we originally had an allocation when I think it was like 7- -- remember it was 24 24 the emergency housing vouchers first came out -the EHVs -- and we asked for additional. 25 about two, three months ago. You told us that 25

	Page 41		Page 43
1	they had awarded us some monies for the vouchers.	1	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Okay. Thank you.
2	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Like 600,000, right?	2	I have another comment.
3	COMMISSIONER BROCK: Yes, something like	3	Does anyone else have any questions about
4	that, yes.	4	anything that we've gone over?
5	CEO: Yes. So	5	(no response)
6	COMMISSIONER BROCK: You done added them on	6	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Commissioner Green,
7	there on the vouchers?	7	because you weren't in the meeting that we had
8	CEO: No. That probably was budget money.	8	last week, we had a kind of working session with
9	Is that correct, Dennis?	9	Mr. Alexander and Commissioner Shoup and the
10	CFO: Correct.	10	Chair.
11	CEO: That was budget money they put in	11	We were talking about just some general like
12	there	12	housing authority matters. One of the things we
13	COMMISSIONER BROCK: Oh, it wasn't money to	13	were digging into was legal expense and how we
14		14	might bring on a resource to do some of the
15	CEO: for a special allocation.	15	day-to-day operations.
16	It wasn't for a specific allocation in terms of	16	Mr. Alexander, I did draft a position
17	adding more vouchers.	17	description for the role that we were discussing.
18	We can't technically	18	If we think that there's value, we'll talk about
19	COMMISSIONER BROCK: I'm sorry.	19	this in the board meeting, having a position
20	Mr. Alexander, no. Not to cut you off	20	that is responsible for writing grants,
21	not adding more vouchers but to add to the	21	doing advocacy and maybe some lobbying and that
22	vouchers that we already have.	22	that position would kind of pay for itself with
23	Was that what that money was allocated for,	23	award dollars.
24	or was it for something else?	24	But that would be the person who would dig
25	CEO: It was probably added to the existing	25	into these matters and really advocate for the
	Page 42		Page 44
1	HCV budget.	1	interest of the housing authority.
2	COMMISSIONER BROCK: Okay.	2	So I'll get that over to you. I did do it,
3	CEO: Yes.	3	but I didn't want to send it over the weekend.
4	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: I have a question about	4	Because I don't think of any of us as working on
5	vouchers.	5	the weekend, and then maybe we can put an RFP out
6	Did we see an increase in vouchers during	6	for that.
7	COVID?	7	Do you have any thoughts about that role?
8	And, do we expect now, if, yes, that with the	8	COMMISSIONER GREEN: Why would we need a
9	treasury cutting off funding related to COVID	9	grant writer for a public agency?
10	that we'll see a decrease in available vouchers?	10	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: So, going after any
11	CEO: I don't think it will be a decrease.	11	like local or federal dollars that would support
12	I think that they need they will continue to	12	housing, there are a lot of opportunities.
13	add more vouchers. I think it's a big need to add	13	I think it's important to have like an
14	more vouchers, because, basically, as we discussed	14	intentional role to bring those dollars in.
15	in several other meetings, HUD is getting away	15	That person would also like lobby on the
16	from building public housing.	16	interest of the housing authority at the local
17	They're not building anymore public housing.	17	City Council, maybe in Tallahassee, maybe even
18	It's easier for them to issue a voucher to a	18	also the HUD for like VASH is a good example.
19	family and have an instant impact more so than	19	You know, we're so frustrated that we can't
20	spending hundreds of thousands of dollars into	20	get our residents eligible for that program,
21	public housing.	21	but that person would advocate for those issues,
22	And part of that came underneath that	22	as well.
23	Faircloth Act, in 1998, that they stopped allowing	23	Does anyone have additional thoughts on that?
24	you to use operating funds or capital funds for	24	COMMISSIONER BROCK: You explained it very
25	development of any public housing.	25	well, Ms. Chair. Yeah.
-"			

	Page 45		Page 47
1	COMMISSIONER GREEN: Sounds good.	1	But that's something we can discuss more
2	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: And then any other	2	as a board. That's something I feel strongly
3	thoughts on the other things that we discussed in	3	about especially because we've got our housing
4	that meeting that I think are important to	4	authority, you know, in Duval County.
5	talk about at the Finance Committee before going	5	But, if you have any thoughts on that
6	to the board?	6	COMMISSIONER GREEN: Did we branch out to
7	COMMISSIONER BROCK: Ms. Chair, yeah.	7	St. Johns?
8	We were also discussing that they were going to	8	CEO: No.
9	try to do away with like repeating stuff that we	9	Somebody just had the Chair
10	have in our Finance Meeting and Assets Management	10	they reached out to him and presented a package
11	Meeting that they wanted to dive into those	11	and made a request.
12	things in those meetings.	12	COMMISSIONER GREEN: Okay.
13	So that, when it comes to the board,	13	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: All right. Any other
14	we would just vote and pass it pretty much.	14	discussion?
15	They wanted to not have discussions in the board	15	Any other questions?
16	meeting anymore.	16	COMMISSIONER BROCK: I don't think so.
17	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Well, not to have	17	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: All right.
18	discussions, but, like this presentation.	18	Thank you-all. This meeting is adjourned.
19	You know, it didn't make sense for us to	19	Have a wonderful day.
20	take a half hour here and half hour there	20	COMMISSIONER BROCK: Thank you.
21	it may cut these 4-hour board meetings	21	(Whereupon, the JHA BOC Finance Committee
22	that we should have focused committee meetings.	22	Meeting concluded at 9:51 a.m.)
23	And everyone you know, if you want to learn a	23	
24	little bit more or have an opinion about it,	24	
25	that you would be in those meetings.	25	
	Page 46		Page 48
1	COMMISSIONER GREEN: Yes, if you can make	1	CERTIFICATE
2	all the committee meetings.		STATE OF FLORIDA )
3	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: I know. Yes.	3	COUNTY OF DUVAL )
4	COMMISSIONER GREEN: Sometimes it's good to	4	I, Carol DeBee Martin, Certified Court
5	have one. It's good to have it in the board	5	Reporter and Notary Public, certify that I was
6	meeting, because that's like the most important	6	authorized to and did stenographically report the
7	one.	7	foregoing proceedings and that the transcript to the
8	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Yes.	8	best of my ability is a true and complete record of my
9	COMMISSIONER GREEN: Sometimes you can't make	9	stenographic notes.  Dated this 28th day of June, 2023.
10	it to each of the committee meetings.	10 11	Δ
11	CHAIRWOMAN HOROVITZ: Yes. I completely	12	Carol De Bu Martin
12	agree with that.	13	COUNTY) DE DOC PLOKTIN
13	And then the last thing was just talking		Carol DeBee Martin
14	about what our priorities are as far as funding	14	Notary Public State of Florida
15	projects, like Duval County versus St. Johns		My Commission: HH 038064
16	County.	15	Expires: 12-29-2024
17	I think the board agreed well, not the	16	
18	board, but that group that we really want to	17	
19	prioritize Duval County and, you know,	18	
20	particularly areas where we're seeing a lot of	19 20	
21	development, like Springfield out east where we	21	
22	can really align with other organizations that	22	
23	are revitalizing our communities rather than	23	
24	focusing outside, like maybe in the Ponte Vedra	24	
25	area, even if opportunities are available.	25	
		1	